

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
PATENT TRANSMITTAL FORM

Applicant(s): Ziegler, et al.

Serial No.: 10/072,198

Filed: February 7, 2002

For: OPTICAL MEASURING OR TESTING DEVICE WITH CONNECTION  
ELEMENT

Art Unit: 2877

Examiner: Tu T. Nguyen

Confirmation No.: 3515

Customer No.: 27623

Attorney Docket No.: US 20 01 0284

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Dear Sir:

We are enclosing:

1. PTOL-85;
2. Applicant's Response to Statement on the Reasons for Allowance Under 37 CFR 1.104(e);
3. Transmittal letter in duplicate; and
4. Postcard.

Please charge the Issue Fee and Publication Fee of **\$1,630** and any additional fees or credit any such fees, if necessary to Deposit Account No. **50-1078** in the name of Agilent Technologies, Inc.. A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

Respectfully submitted,




Paul D. Greeley  
Reg. No. 31,019  
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.  
One Landmark Square, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Stamford, Connecticut 06901-2682  
(203) 327-4500

Date: September 17, 2004

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE AS FIRST CLASS MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450, , ON September 17, 2004.

Joanne A. Romaniello  
NAME

  
SIGNATURE

9/17/04  
DATE



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: Ziegler, Jochen  
Serial No.: 10/072,198  
Filed: February 7, 2002  
For: OPTICAL MEASURING OR TESTING DEVICE WITH  
CONNECTION ELEMENT  
Art Unit: 2877  
Examiner: Nguyen, Tu T  
Confirmation No.: 3515  
Customer No.: 27,623

Attorney Docket No.: 20 01 0284

Commissioner of Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO OFFICE'S REASONS  
FOR ALLOWANCE DATED JUNE 12, 2004**

Dear Sir:

In response to the Notice of Allowance dated June 12, 2004, please enter the following response in the file history of the above-noted application as follows:

Applicant notes receipt of the Reasons for Allowance in the pending Action. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims of the instant application are allowable for the reasons set forth in the specification, as well as the arguments presented in applicant's response(s), if any. Any statements set forth by the Examiner in the Reasons for Allowance which differ from that previously

stated by applicant in the specification and responses filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office form no basis for allowance and therefore provides no grounds for application of the Doctrine of Prosecution History Estoppel in the construction of the allowed claims. Applicant respectfully requests favorable consideration and that the application be passed to allowance.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: 9/17, 2004



Paul D. Greeley Esq.

Reg. No. 31,019

Attorney for Applicant

Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.

One Landmark Square, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor

Stamford, CT 06901-2682

(203) 327-4500